



ACS Housing Summary

Pitt County, NC (37147)

Geography: County

	2013-2017 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
TOTALS				
Total Population	176,484		0	High
Total Households	68,805		830	High
Total Housing Units	77,843		212	High
OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS				
Total	35,957	100.0%	709	High
Housing units with a mortgage/contract to purchase/similar debt	23,395	65.1%	735	High
Second mortgage only	734	2.0%	200	Medium
Home equity loan only	2,883	8.0%	351	High
Both second mortgage and home equity loan	41	0.1%	40	Low
Housing units without a mortgage	12,562	34.9%	761	High
AVERAGE VALUE BY MORTGAGE STATUS				
Housing units with a mortgage	\$173,207		\$8,738	High
Housing units without a mortgage	\$142,081		\$25,979	High
OWNER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY MORTGAGE STATUS & SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS				
Total	35,957	100.0%	709	High
With a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months				
Less than 10.0 percent	1,952	5.4%	299	High
10.0 to 14.9 percent	4,885	13.6%	517	High
15.0 to 19.9 percent	4,967	13.8%	455	High
20.0 to 24.9 percent	3,713	10.3%	405	High
25.0 to 29.9 percent	2,200	6.1%	352	High
30.0 to 34.9 percent	1,284	3.6%	245	High
35.0 to 39.9 percent	782	2.2%	185	Medium
40.0 to 49.9 percent	1,209	3.4%	258	Medium
50.0 percent or more	2,362	6.6%	345	High
Not computed	41	0.1%	39	Low
Without a mortgage: Monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in past 12 months				
Less than 10.0 percent	5,605	15.6%	465	High
10.0 to 14.9 percent	2,167	6.0%	261	High
15.0 to 19.9 percent	1,411	3.9%	267	High
20.0 to 24.9 percent	878	2.4%	190	Medium
25.0 to 29.9 percent	484	1.3%	132	Medium
30.0 to 34.9 percent	342	1.0%	113	Medium
35.0 to 39.9 percent	289	0.8%	123	Medium
40.0 to 49.9 percent	391	1.1%	144	Medium
50.0 percent or more	840	2.3%	215	Medium
Not computed	155	0.4%	72	Medium



ACS Housing Summary

Pitt County, NC (37147)
Geography: County

	2013-2017 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
RENTER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY CONTRACT RENT				
Total	32,848	100.0%	970	High
With cash rent	31,621	96.3%	960	High
Less than \$100	811	2.5%	250	Medium
\$100 to \$149	427	1.3%	191	Medium
\$150 to \$199	342	1.0%	168	Medium
\$200 to \$249	828	2.5%	242	Medium
\$250 to \$299	616	1.9%	191	Medium
\$300 to \$349	956	2.9%	247	Medium
\$350 to \$399	1,556	4.7%	300	High
\$400 to \$449	2,927	8.9%	510	High
\$450 to \$499	3,349	10.2%	525	High
\$500 to \$549	3,335	10.2%	529	High
\$550 to \$599	2,175	6.6%	432	Medium
\$600 to \$649	1,931	5.9%	329	High
\$650 to \$699	1,539	4.7%	336	Medium
\$700 to \$749	1,716	5.2%	334	High
\$750 to \$799	1,472	4.5%	347	Medium
\$800 to \$899	2,364	7.2%	453	High
\$900 to \$999	798	2.4%	210	Medium
\$1,000 to \$1,249	2,263	6.9%	421	High
\$1,250 to \$1,499	699	2.1%	215	Medium
\$1,500 to \$1,999	1,127	3.4%	315	Medium
\$2,000 to \$2,499	258	0.8%	164	Medium
\$2,500 to \$2,999	127	0.4%	111	Low
\$3,000 to \$3,499	0	0.0%	28	Low
\$3,500 or more	5	0.0%	11	Low
No cash rent	1,227	3.7%	264	Medium
Median Contract Rent	\$565		\$17	High
Average Contract Rent	\$657		\$34	High
RENTER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY INCLUSION OF UTILITIES IN RENT				
Total	32,848	100.0%	970	High
Pay extra for one or more utilities	29,568	90.0%	966	High
No extra payment for any utilities	3,280	10.0%	473	High



ACS Housing Summary

Pitt County, NC (37147)
Geography: County

	2013-2017 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
RENTER-OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY GROSS RENT				
Total:	32,848	100.0%	970	High
With cash rent:	31,621	96.3%	960	High
Less than \$100	135	0.4%	89	Low
\$100 to \$149	152	0.5%	111	Low
\$150 to \$199	325	1.0%	144	Medium
\$200 to \$249	279	0.8%	114	Medium
\$250 to \$299	411	1.3%	197	Medium
\$300 to \$349	301	0.9%	148	Medium
\$350 to \$399	616	1.9%	226	Medium
\$400 to \$449	597	1.8%	217	Medium
\$450 to \$499	1,235	3.8%	295	Medium
\$500 to \$549	1,937	5.9%	436	Medium
\$550 to \$599	2,620	8.0%	454	High
\$600 to \$649	2,548	7.8%	477	High
\$650 to \$699	2,102	6.4%	421	Medium
\$700 to \$749	2,141	6.5%	422	High
\$750 to \$799	1,840	5.6%	374	Medium
\$800 to \$899	3,094	9.4%	521	High
\$900 to \$999	2,927	8.9%	440	High
\$1,000 to \$1,249	4,204	12.8%	556	High
\$1,250 to \$1,499	2,168	6.6%	433	High
\$1,500 to \$1,999	1,379	4.2%	324	Medium
\$2,000 to \$2,499	415	1.3%	217	Medium
\$2,500 to \$2,999	184	0.6%	132	Low
\$3,000 to \$3,499	6	0.0%	13	Low
\$3,500 or more	5	0.0%	11	Low
No cash rent	1,227	3.7%	264	Medium
Median Gross Rent	\$761		\$21	High
Average Gross Rent	\$844		\$42	High


























ACS Housing Summary

Pitt County, NC (37147)

Geography: County

	2013-2017 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
HOUSING UNITS BY UNITS IN STRUCTURE				
Total	77,843	100.0%	212	High
1, detached	38,602	49.6%	920	High
1, attached	5,554	7.1%	606	High
2	2,873	3.7%	463	High
3 or 4	1,859	2.4%	335	High
5 to 9	8,019	10.3%	734	High
10 to 19	6,828	8.8%	654	High
20 to 49	3,175	4.1%	424	High
50 or more	1,110	1.4%	237	Medium
Mobile home	9,810	12.6%	636	High
Boat, RV, van, etc.	13	0.0%	20	Low
HOUSING UNITS BY YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT				
Total	77,843	100.0%	212	High
Built 2014 or later	737	0.9%	201	Medium
Built 2010 to 2013	3,760	4.8%	568	High
Built 2000 to 2009	20,976	26.9%	913	High
Built 1990 to 1999	18,087	23.2%	834	High
Built 1980 to 1989	10,619	13.6%	670	High
Built 1970 to 1979	10,006	12.9%	715	High
Built 1960 to 1969	5,428	7.0%	522	High
Built 1950 to 1959	3,998	5.1%	447	High
Built 1940 to 1949	1,434	1.8%	286	Medium
Built 1939 or earlier	2,798	3.6%	419	High
Median Year Structure Built	1993		2	High
OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT				
Total	68,805	100.0%	830	High
Owner occupied				
Moved in 2015 or later	1,678	2.4%	311	High
Moved in 2010 to 2014	6,675	9.7%	575	High
Moved in 2000 to 2009	13,988	20.3%	699	High
Moved in 1990 to 1999	7,059	10.3%	489	High
Moved in 1980 to 1989	3,535	5.1%	413	High
Moved in 1979 or earlier	3,022	4.4%	270	High
Renter occupied				
Moved in 2015 or later	9,340	13.6%	718	High
Moved in 2010 to 2014	17,493	25.4%	939	High
Moved in 2000 to 2009	4,834	7.0%	596	High
Moved in 1990 to 1999	627	0.9%	207	Medium
Moved in 1980 to 1989	364	0.5%	126	Medium
Moved in 1979 or earlier	190	0.3%	68	Medium
Median Year Householder Moved Into Unit	2010		1	High




	2013-2017			
	ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY HOUSE HEATING FUEL				
Total	68,805	100.0%	830	
Utility gas	9,883	14.4%	576	
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	4,231	6.1%	402	
Electricity	53,722	78.1%	1,080	
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	396	0.6%	172	
Coal or coke	29	0.0%	45	
Wood	221	0.3%	96	
Solar energy	0	0.0%	28	
Other fuel	89	0.1%	58	
No fuel used	234	0.3%	107	
OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY VEHICLES AVAILABLE				
Total	68,805	100.0%	830	
Owner occupied				
No vehicle available	956	1.4%	235	
1 vehicle available	8,028	11.7%	535	
2 vehicles available	15,790	22.9%	661	
3 vehicles available	8,059	11.7%	588	
4 vehicles available	2,396	3.5%	307	
5 or more vehicles available	728	1.1%	179	
Renter occupied				
No vehicle available	4,409	6.4%	549	
1 vehicle available	14,608	21.2%	900	
2 vehicles available	9,465	13.8%	757	
3 vehicles available	2,800	4.1%	550	
4 vehicles available	1,381	2.0%	353	
5 or more vehicles available	185	0.3%	161	
Average Number of Vehicles Available	1.8		0.0	

Data Note: N/A means not available.

2013-2017 ACS Estimate: The American Community Survey (ACS) replaces census sample data. Esri is releasing the 2013-2017 ACS estimates, five-year period data collected monthly from January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2015. Although the ACS includes many of the subjects previously covered by the decennial census sample, there are significant differences between the two surveys including fundamental differences in survey design and residency rules.

Margin of error (MOE): The MOE is a measure of the variability of the estimate due to sampling error. MOEs enable the data user to measure the range of uncertainty for each estimate with 90 percent confidence. The range of uncertainty is called the confidence interval, and it is calculated by taking the estimate +/- the MOE. For example, if the ACS reports an estimate of 100 with an MOE of +/- 20, then you can be 90 percent certain the value for the whole population falls between 80 and 120.

Reliability: These symbols represent threshold values that Esri has established from the Coefficients of Variation (CV) to designate the usability of the estimates. The CV measures the amount of sampling error relative to the size of the estimate, expressed as a percentage.

-  High Reliability: Small CVs (less than or equal to 12 percent) are flagged green to indicate that the sampling error is small relative to the estimate and the estimate is reasonably reliable.
-  Medium Reliability: Estimates with CVs between 12 and 40 are flagged yellow-use with caution.
-  Low Reliability: Large CVs (over 40 percent) are flagged red to indicate that the sampling error is large relative to the estimate. The estimate is considered very unreliable.